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#### Abstract

Hurst, R. J., Bagley, N. W., and Uozumi, Y. 1990: New Zealand-Japan trawl survey of shelf and upper slope species off southern New Zealand, June 1986. N.Z. Fisheries Technical Report No. 18. 50 p.

A stratified random trawl survey of the Stewart-Snares shelf and the Puysegur Bank region in June 1986 caught 81 species of fish, squid, and crustaceans. The catch and estimated biomass of the 20 major species are given with more detailed results of relative changes in distribution and abundance between areas and depths for the 10 major species. The most abundant species, both in terms of catch and estimated biomass, were barracouta and spiny dogfish.

Biological data (including length, sex, weight, reproductive state, feeding, and parasites) are presented for 15 commercially important species. Surface and bottom temperatures measured by ship recorders and expendable bathythermographs are also summarised. Comparisons are made of biomass estimates, fish distribution and size, and temperatures from this survey with results from four previous Shinkai Maru surveys.


## Introduction

## The area $F$ (Southland) fishery

Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) area F is south of the South Island of New Zealand and includes waters around Stewart Island, the Snares Islands, and Puysegur Bank (Figure 1). Catches of finfish and squid from area $F$ constituted $15-16 \%$ of the total EEZ deepwater trawl and domestic inshore (excluding jigcaught squid and tuna) catch in the fishing years (1 October to 30 September) 1983-84 to 1985-86. It is a particularly important fishing ground for deepwater factory trawlers, which reported 20-24\% of their catch from this area. In contrast, the domestic inshore vessels (all methods) caught only $2 \%$ of the national domestic total in area $F$.

The main trawl-caught species reported from area F from 1983-84 to 1985-86 were arrow squid (Nototodarus sloanii), hoki (Macruronus novaezelandiae), and barracouta (Thyrsites atun). Gemfish ( Rexea solandri), ling (Genypterus blacodes), oreos (Allocyttus sp. and Pseudocyttus maculatus), silver warehou (Seriolella punctata), and red cod ( Pseudophycis bachus) were also important (catches over 1000 t ) in all years (Table 1).

Catches by deepwater (New Zealand chartered, New Zealand factory, and foreign licensed) trawlers in
subareas $F(E)$ and $F(W)$ for the three fishing years are given in Table 2. All these vessels are over 42 m long, and they were prohibited from fishing inside the 12 n . mile territorial sea limit from 1 April 1978. The relative importance of areas $F(E)$ and $F(W)$ varied; $F(E)$ increasing in importance. This was partly due to the closure of the Solander corridor to vessels over 43 m from 1 October 1985. New Zealand chartered vessels took most ( $65-72 \%$ ) of the catch during these fishing years.

## Previous research

There has been limited research in this important fishing area. Van den Broek et al. (1984) and Hatanaka et al. (1989) summarised research surveys by various vessels in EEZ areas F and E (Sub-Antarctic) up to the end of 1983. Four of these were joint Japan-New Zealand stratified random trawl surveys using the research vessel Shinkai Maru during 1981-83. Three were in late summer-early autumn - February 1981 (Kawahara and Tokusa 1981), March-April 1982 (van den Broek et al. 1984), and April 1983 (Uozumi et al. 1987) - and one was in spring - October-November 1983 (Hatanaka et al. 1989).


Figure 1: New Zealand Exclusive Economic Zone areas $E$ and $F$ and places mentioned in the text.

Table 1: Catch* (t) of the 10 major finfish and squid species reported by deepwater trawlers $\dagger$ (DW) and inshore domestic vessels (DOM) fishing in area $F$ and the total EEZ catch for the fishing years 1983-84 to $1985-86$

| Species | 1983-84 |  |  | 1984-85 |  |  | 1985-86 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DW | DOM | Total | DW | DOM | Total | DW | DOM | Total |
| Arrow squid | 14750 | 6 | 14756 | 19808 | 4 | 19812 | 16540 | 1 | 16541 |
| Barracouta $\ddagger$ | 6716 | 151 | 6967 | 6672 | 19 | 6691 | 6012 | 14 | 6026 |
| Blue warehou | 2816 | 102 | 2918 | 638 | 4642 | 5280 | 550 | 0 | 1550 |
| Gemfish | 2816 | 9 | 2825 | 1963 | 1 | 1964 | 4527 | 1 | 4528 |
| Hoki | 10213 | 4 | 10217 | 6719 | 3 | 6722 | 6197 | 2 | 6199 |
| Ling | 2562 | 51 | 2613 | 1746 | 64 | 1810 | 2068 | 29 | 2097 |
| Oreos | 3158 | 0 | 3158 | 1203 | 1 | 1204 | 5159 | 0 | 5159 |
| Red cod | 1791 | 284 | 2075 | 2837 | 429 | 3266 | 1151 | 224 | 1395 |
| Silver warehou | 1408 | 0 | 1408 | 2713 | 0 | 2713 | 3298 | 32 | 3330 |
| Spiny dogfish | 609 | 0 | 609 | 584 | 1 | 585 | 981 | 0 | 981 |
| All species | 48616 | 2251 | 50867 | 46423 | 2230 | 48653 | 49866 | 1750 | 51616 |
| (\% of EEZ) | (24) | (2) | (16) | (23) | (2) | (16) | (20) | (2) | (15) |
| Total EEZ | 204841 | 111995 | 316836 | 204127 | 107861 | 311988 | 250079 | 105463 | 355542 |

* Excludes jig-caught squid and tunas.
$\dagger$ Includes New Zealand chartered, New Zealand factory, and foreign licensed trawlers.
$\ddagger$ Most of the barracouta catch reported by DW trawlers from area E in 1983-84 (4 424 t) and 1984-85 (5 768 t) was probably caught in area $F$. This may also apply to some other species.

Table 2: Reported catch (1) by deepwater trawlers* fishing in areas $F(E)$ and $F(W)$ for the fishing years 1983-84 to 1985-86 $\dagger$

|  | 1983-84 |  | 1984-85 |  | 1985-86 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F (E) | $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{W})$ | F(E) | F(W) | F(E) | F(W) |
| N.Z. chartered | 13352 | 18130 | 20136 | 13185 | 25557 | 9174 |
| Foreign licensed | 3604 | 13472 | 5947 | 6742 | 7364 | 7719 |
| N.Z. factory | 52 | 5 | 186 | 227 | 16 | 36 |
| Total | 17008 | 31607 | 26269 | 20154 | 32937 | 16929 |
| Total area F | 48 | 615 |  | 423 |  | 86 |

* These trawlers are not allowed to fish in the 12 n . mile territorial sea and, since 1 October 1985, in parts of the Solander corridor.
$\dagger$ Catch by inshore domestic vessels can not be broken down into these statistical areas.

The survey area, depth, number of strata, and station density varied between the four previous Shinkai Maru surveys, but all surveys included the Stewart-Snares shelf in area F, to a depth of at least 600 m , and all excluded the 12 n . mile territorial sea (Figure 2). None of the surveys included the Puysegur Bank, west of the Stewart-Snares shelf, and only two included the Solander corridor (March-April 1982, April 1983).

Results of these surveys, and analysis of commercial fishing patterns (for example, by Hurst (1988a and

1988b) on barracouta and gemfish) suggested there were large variations in the annual and seasonal abundance of barracouta and some of the other shelf species in EEZ area $F$.

## June 1986 survey

This report presents results of a stratified random trawl survey, also using Shinkai Maru, in June 1986. This survey was restricted to area F , in waters of $50-600 \mathrm{~m}$ bottom depth, but it included Puysegur Bank, the Solander corridor, and the area inside the territorial sea limit, for the first time (Figure 3).

The aims of this survey were:

1. to determine the winter distribution and abundance of the major shelf and middle depth ( $50-600 \mathrm{~m}$ ) species in the Stewart-Snares shelf and Puysegur Bank region;
2. to determine the biological characteristics (including size composition, age, gonad condition, diet, and parasites) of the main commercial species;
3. to collect hydrological (temperature) data;
4. to tag live school shark (Galeorhinus galeus) as part of a study on their movements;
5. to collect ichthyoplankton samples.


Figure 2: Survey area, strata, number of stations, and station density (number of stations per square kilometre) from the four previous Shinkai Maru surveys in area F.


Figure 2-continued.


Figure 2-continued.


Figure 2-continued.


Figure 3: Survey area, strata, and trawl stations.

## Methods

## Survey area and design

The survey covered waters of $50-600 \mathrm{~m}$, including those inside the 12 n . mile territorial sea limit, in EEZ area F . The survey area was originally calculated to be $52866 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, but some of the stratum boundaries had to be redefined during the survey because the bathymetric data were incorrect. The resulting area surveyed was $49524 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$.

The survey was designed as a stratified random trawl survey in which all trawls were to be made during daylight ( $9.5-10 \mathrm{~h}$ per day). This limited the number of possible tows and precluded the use of a two-phase survey design (after Francis 1984). The survey area was divided into 11 strata, by depth and area (Figure 3, Table 3). This increased stratification compared with previous survey designs was intended to improve the precision of the biomass estimates, especially if time for a second phase became available at the end of the survey. Sixty stations were randomly generated by computer. They were at least 3 n . miles apart (i.e., the planned tow distance), and they were distributed with equal area weighting amongst strata. The planned overall station density was $1: 881 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. Comparable data for the four previous Shinkai Maru surveys are shown in Figure 2.

## Vessel

Shinkai Maru is a Japanese stern trawler on charter to the Japanese Marine Fishery Resource Research Center (JAMARC). It has the following specifications: length, 94.9 m ; beam, 16.0 m ; tonnage, 3393 GRT; horsepower, 5000 PS.

## Net features

The trawl gear used on this survey was similar to that used on previous Shinkai Maru surveys in New Zealand waters, i.e., a six-panel high-opening bottom trawl with a nominally 80 mm knot to knot mesh codend. Two nets were used (Appendix 1) during the survey because the first net was lost in stratum 6 (at $48^{\circ} 10.18^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 167^{\circ} 27.11^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, at a depth of 142 m ). Gear parameters for the two nets are given in Table 4. Wingspread distance was calculated, when sea conditions allowed, by measuring the distance between the trawl warps at, and 4 m behind, the stern rollers (after Koyama 1974). The average of three measurements taken during the tow was used. When the wingspread distance was not calculated on the vessel, the average of the values for the stations in the same depth range was used. Data from the July 1986 survey of the Chatham Rise (Livingston et al. in press) were also used to calculate these averages.

Gear parameters for this survey are compared with those from previous Shinkai Maru random trawl
surveys in Table 5. The basic net design, codend mesh size, and towing speed were similar for all surveys. The main differences were in headline height, wingspread and doorspread and the resulting ratios between them, and tow duration (from a standard time to a standard distance).

## Trawling procedure

Station positions up to 25 n . miles offshore were determined by radar; those $25-50 \mathrm{n}$. miles offshore by radar or satellite navigator, as appropriate; and those more than 50 n . miles offshore by satellite navigator. If there was no trawlable ground found within a 2 n . mile radius of the original position, substitute stations were chosen from the next on the list for that stratum. If the depth was not correct for the stratum (as occurred on the eastern edge of the Stewart-Snares shelf), the vessel steamed perpendicularly to the depth contour until the correct depth was located.

A tow duration of 3 n . miles was aimed for, and the tow was timed from the gear reaching the bottom to the start of hauling. The actual tow length averaged 3.1 n . miles (range 2.2 to 4.0 ) and took 54 min (range 30 to 66), at an average speed of 3.5 kn (range 2.6 to 4.4).

## Catch size estimation

The catch was sorted into species and weighed on platform scales to the nearest 0.1 kg . When the catch was large, the weight of the major species was backcalculated from product weight, and minor species were weighed. All school shark were individually weighed before being tagged.

## Biomass estimation

Biomass and standard error of biomass were calculated (after Francis 1981) from:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=\Sigma\left(X_{i} \cdot a_{i}\right) c b_{i} \\
& S B=\Sigma S_{i}^{2} . a_{i}^{2} / c b_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B$ is biomass ( t ), $S B$ is standard error of $B, X_{i}$ is mean catch rate ( $\mathrm{kg} . \mathrm{km}^{-1}$ ) of stratum $i, a_{i}$ is area of stratum $i\left(\mathrm{~km}^{2}\right), b_{i}$ is mean net mouth opening for stratum $i, c$ is catchability coefficient (the proportion of fish in the water column which is caught), $S_{i}$ is estimated standard error of $X_{i}$.

Approximate $95 \%$ confidence limits ( $C L$ ) were calculated as:

$$
C L=B+2 S B
$$

The coefficient of variation (c.v.), a measure of the precision of the biomass estimate, was calculated by:

$$
\text { c.v. }=S B / B \times 100
$$

Table 3: Stratum area and number of stations

| Stratum No. | Depth (m) | Planned |  |  | Actual* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | No, of stations | Station density (per km²) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | No. of stations $\dagger$ | Station density (per $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) |
| 1 | 50-100 | 3579 | 4 | 1:895 | 3696 | 4 | 1:924 |
| 2 | $50-100$ | 2196 | 3 | 1:732 | 2782 | 2 | 1:1391 |
| 3 | 100-200 | 5259 | 6 | 1:877 | 7281 | 6 | 1:1214 |
| 4 | 100-200 | 6056 | 7 | 1:865 | 5941 | 6 | 1:990 |
| 5 | 100-200 | 5970 | 7 | 1:853 | 8431 | 7 | 1:1204 |
| 6 | 100-200 | 4633 | 5 | 1:927 | 5547 | 2 | 1:2274 |
| 7 | 100-200 | 5016 | 6 | 1:836 | 5539 | 6 | 1:923 |
| 8 | 200-400 | 3085 | 4 | 1:771 | 1722 | 4 | 1:431 |
| 9 | 200-400 | 6226 | 7 | 1:889 | 3544 | 5 | 1:709 |
| 10 | 400-600 | 6257 | 7 | 1:894 | 2305 | 7 | 1:329 |
| 11 | 400-600 | 4589 | 5 | 1:918 | 2736 | 3 | 1:912 |
| Total |  | 52866 | 60 | 1:881 | 49524 | 52 | 1:952 |

* Excluding areas of foul ground in strata 4 and 6 resulted in areas and station densities of $5468 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ( $1: 781$ ) and $3570 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ (1:1785), respectively, and $47074 \mathrm{~km}^{2}(1: 905)$ overall.
$\dagger$ Does not include six stations (in strata $2,4,6,9$, and 11) which were omitted from the biomass calculations because the trawl came fast or was flown over foul ground for at least 15 min .

Table 4: Combined gear parameters of the two nets used during this survey and that by Shinkai Maru in July 1986 on the Chatham Rise*


* M. E. Livingston pers. comm.
$\dagger$ Estimated from the ratio of the mean width of net 1 in 100-200:50-100 m (1 : 1.26).

The following assumptions were made:

1. The effective sea bed area swept was the distance between the wingtips of the net multiplied by the distance towed. All previous Shinkai Maru survey results used this procedure to calculate biomass, and it was used here for comparability. This procedure may over- or underestimate biomass, depending on the herding effectiveness of the doors and sweeps. The ratio between doorspread and wingspread is given in Tables 4 and 5 to enable alternative assumptions to be used.
2. The catchability coefficient for wingspread estimates was assumed to be one. This is a conservative approach which assumed that:
(1) the vulnerability of all fish in the area swept by the wings was $100 \%$ (i.e., escapement was zero - although a 60 mm mesh codend was used, some small fish would probably still have passed
through the net; however, this escapement is difficult to estimate without extensive mesh selection trials);
(2) vertical availability was $100 \%$ (i.e., there were no fish above the headline);
(3) areal availability was $100 \%$ (i.e., there were no fish in areas of foul ground which were not able to be sampled by the trawl). Two approaches have been used to deal with the problem of if and how to include areas of foul ground:
(a) the total survey area was used, by assuming equal catch rates over the unsurveyed foul ground. This makes the 1986 survey more comparable with previous Shinkai Maru surveys which all used this approach.
(b) areas of foul ground in strata 4 and 6 which could not be sampled were excluded from the survey area (see Figure 3). Catches near foul ground often have different species compositions, which suggests that the first approach could give an over- or underestimate.

## Biological observations

Details of the species and total numbers of individuals measured are given in Table 6. For the commercially important fish and arrow squid, up to 200 individuals per species were randomly selected from each tow and measured to the nearest centimetre below actual length (arrow squid, mantle length; barracouta, silver warehou, blue warehou (Seriolella brama), gemfish, tarakihi (Nemadactylus macropterus), jack mackerel (Trachurus declivis and T. murphyii), Ray's bream (Brama brama), fork length (FL); all others, total length (TL)). All species measured were sexed, except tarakihi, stargazers (Kathetostoma spp.), red cod, and most blue cod (Parapercis colias).

General observations were made on the spawning condition of most species. For teleosts the gonad stages

Table 5: Gear and tow parameters (mean values) for the June and July 1986 surveys combined, compared with previous Shinkai Maru surveys* in EEZ areas $E$ and $F$

| Survey | Headline height ( m ) | Codend mesh (mm) | Wingspread (m) | Doorspread to wingspread ratio | Towing speed (kn) | Target tow duration | Area swept ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feb 1981 | 6.2 | 60-80 | 31 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 30 min | 0.096 |
| Mar-Apr 1982 | 9.3 | 60 | 28.6 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 30 min | 0.093 |
| Apr 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net A | 8.8 | 60 | 27.3 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 30 min | 0.086 |
| Net B | 8.3 | 60 | 27.6 | 5.1 | 3.5 | 30 min | 0.089 |
| Oct-Nov 1983 | 7.3 | 60 | 34.1 | 3.57 | 3.2 | 30 min | 0.100 |
| Jun and Jul 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net 1 | 7.1 | 60 | 28.4 | 4.13 | 3.5 | 3 n. miles | 0.163 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (about 52 min ) |  |
| Net 2 | 6.2 | 60 | 29.1 | 4.57 | 3.5 | 3 n . miles (about 52 min ) | 0.167 |

* Feb 1981, Kawahara and Tokusa (1981); Mar-Apr 1982, van den Broek et al. (1984); Apr 1983, Uozumi et al. (1987); Oct-Nov 1983, Hatanaka et al. (1989).

Table 6: Species and numbers of fish measured

| Species | Length frequency |  | Detailed biology |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of samples | No. of fish | No. of samples* | No. of fish |
| Arrow squid | 51 | 3788 | 4 | 68 |
| Barracouta | 41 | 6694 | 24 | 465 |
| Blue cod | 14 | 683 | 1 | 86 |
| Bluenose | 6 | 12 | 2 | 6 |
| Blue warehou | 19 | 276 | 5 | 60 |
| Gemfish | 33 | 860 | 7 | 239 |
| Hake | 6 | 57 | 4 | 26 |
| Hapuku | 35 | 289 | 0 | 0 |
| Hoki | 12 | 1187 | 9 | 454 |
| Jack mackerel | 29 | 296 | 0 | 0 |
| Ling | 28 | 1363 | 0 | 0 |
| Ray's bream | 7 | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| Red cod | 15 | 603 | 0 | 0 |
| Spiny dogfish | 28 | 140 | 7 | 19 |
| School shark | 43 | 510 | 44 | 495 |
| Silver warehou | 35 | 1595 | 5 | 101 |
| Stargazers | 43 | 1080 | 0 | 0 |
| Tarakihi | 19 | 765 | 0 | 0 |

* Includes samples taken on stations not used for biomass estimation.
used were: 1, immature; 2, resting; 3, maturing (oocytes visible in females); 4, mature (hyaline oocytes in females, milt expressible in males); 5 , running ripe (eggs and milt free flowing); 6, spent. For tagged school sharks, only the male maturity stages could be recorded from external features: 1 , immature (clasper
length less than or equal to pelvic fin length); 2, maturing (clasper soft and greater than pelvic fin length); 3, mature (clasper rigid and greater than pelvic fin length). More detailed data (including individual weight, gonad stage and weight, stomach contents and state of digestion, otoliths, and flesh parasites) were collected for five species: barracouta, blue and silver warehou, arrow squid, and school shark.


## Hydrological observations

Water temperature data were collected daily by expendable bathythermograph (XBT) at approximately equidistant stations (Figure 4). Surface temperatures were also recorded for each station from a temperature sensor, mounted on the hull at a depth of about 7 m , and bottom temperatures were recorded from the net monitor. The XBT data were used to calibrate recordings from the other equipment.

## Ichthyoplankton samples

A plankton net $(60 \mathrm{~cm}$ in diameter, with 0.5 mm mesh) was attached to the trawl just before the codend. Samples were collected at 13 stations and were preserved in $5 \%$ formalin for later analysis. Results of these analyses are not presented here.


Figure 4: Expendable bathythermograph stations. (Temperatures along the vertical profile transect are shown in Figure 24.)

## Results

## Survey area

The redefined survey area was $49524 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, of which $5 \%\left(2450 \mathrm{~km}^{2}\right)$, in strata 4 and 6, was foul ground (see Table 3, Figure 3). Significant changes were made to stratum boundaries and areas in strata $3,5,8,9,10$, and 11 because of incorrect bathymetry. Fifty-eight stations were completed, of which six have been excluded from the biomass estimation because the gear either came fast or was flown over foul ground for more than 15 min , which resulted in the possibility of over- or underestimation of catch rate. This reduced the number of stations in strata $2,4,6,9$, and 11 . The resulting overall station density was lower than planned ( $1: 952 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ for the total area or $1: 905 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ when the areas of foul ground were omitted) and the individual station densities per stratum, though originally planned to be about equal ( $1: 732$ to $1: 927 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ), ranged from $1: 329$ to $1: 2274 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. Individual station data are given in Appendix 2.

## Catch composition

Eighty-two species were recorded: 58 teleosts, 18 elasmobranchs, 4 cephalopods, 1 agnathan, and 1 crustacean (Appendix 3). The catch and estimated biomass of the 20 major species are given for successful biomass stations only in Table 7. Individual station catch data for the 10 major species are given in Appendix 2.

Of the 114 t caught on the 52 successful biomass stations, barracouta constituted $35.8 \%$, spiny dogfish

Table 7: Catch and estimated biomass for the $\mathbf{2 0}$ major species

$15.0 \%$, and hoki $8.4 \%$. All of the top 20 species caught have some current commercial value, except rattails (including javelinfish); though spiny dogfish, school shark, smooth skate, ghost shark, and frostfish are not always processed.

## Species distribution

The mean catch rate at successful biomass stations was $13.1 \mathrm{t} . \mathrm{km}^{-2}$ (range $5.2-46.7$ ), about 0.7 t per n . mile towed. The largest catch was 7.1 t , mainly barracouta. Catch rates of the 10 major species varied with area and depth (Figures 5-14, Table 8). (Means and standard deviations of the catch rates for these species, by stratum, are given in Appendix 4.)

Barracouta were dominant during this survey and occurred in all catches on the Stewart-Snares shelf, but were not taken in 400-600 m . Catch rates were greatest on the western side of Stewart Island (maximum $37.4 \mathrm{t.km}^{-2}$ ) and around the Snares Islands, in $100-200 \mathrm{~m}$ (Figure 5).

Spiny dogfish also occurred at all stations on the shelf, and few were taken in $400-600 \mathrm{~m}$. Catch rates were generally low, except for two catches over $11.0 \mathrm{t} . \mathrm{km}^{-2}$ off the southeast coast of the South Island, in less than 100 m (Figure 6).

The highest catches of hoki, arrow squid, silver warehou, and ling (Figures 7-10) were all off the shelf edge, in 200-600 m. Only arrow squid were caught at all successful biomass stations. The largest catches of hoki and arrow squid (up to 18.0 and $11.6 \mathrm{t} . \mathrm{km}^{-2}$

* Estimate I, total survey area; estimate 2, survey area minus areas of foul ground in strata 4 and 6.
$\dagger$ Coefficient of variation.


Figure 5: Distribution and catch rates of barracouta.


Figure 6: Distribution and catch rates of spiny dogfish.


Figure 7: Distribution and catch rates of hoki.


Figure 8: Distribution and catch rates of arrow squid.


Figure 9: Distribution and catch rates of silver warehou.


Figure 10: Distribution and catch rates of ling.


Figure 11: Distribution and catch rates of stargazers.


Figure 12: Distribution and catch rates of school shark.


Figure 13: Distribution and catch rates of red cod.


Figure 14: Distribution and catch rates of gemfish.

Table 8: Mean catch rates ( $\mathbf{k g} . \mathrm{km}^{-2}$ ) by depth for the 10 major species

|  |  |  |  | Depth (m) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $50-100$ | $100-200$ | $200-400$ | $400-600$ |
| Species | $(n=6)$ | $(n=27)$ | $(n=9)$ | $(n=10)$ |
| Barracouta | 2817 | 8890 | 260 | 0 |
| Spiny dogfish | 6697 | 2375 | 410 | 510 |
| Hoki | 0 | 0 | 56 | 4610 |
| Arrow squid | 93 | 202 | 3585 | 135 |
| Silver warehou | 71 | 39 | 1463 | 1480 |
| School shark | 304 | 772 | 448 | 108 |
| Ling | 43 | 105 | 200 | 1553 |
| Stargazers | 1630 | 356 | 357 | 290 |
| Red cod | 3872 | 21 | 102 | 9 |
| Gemfish | 12 | 103 | 1179 | 94 |

respectively) were from the Solander Island to Puysegur Bank area, and there were secondary catches on the eastern edge of the shelf. The largest catches of silver warehou and ling (up to 6.0 and $3.0 \mathrm{t} . \mathrm{km}^{-2}$ respectively) were also in this eastern area.

Stargazers (Figure 11) were caught at most stations, but there may have been a different species present at some of the deeper stations, particularly in the western part of the survey area. The status of this species is being reviewed by the National Museum, Wellington. The largest catches of stargazers (up to $8.0 \mathrm{t} . \mathrm{km}^{-2}$ in less than 100 m ) were taken in the Stewart-Solander Islands area.

School shark were caught at all stations in $100-400 \mathrm{~m}$ and occasionally at stations outside this range. Catches were generally small (up to $4.0 \mathrm{t} . \mathrm{km}^{-2}$ ), but were slightly greater on the western side of the shelf and in the Solander Island to Puysegur Bank area (Figure 12).

Red cod were caught at few stations, and catches were usually small (Figure 13). The maximum catch ( $17.4 \mathrm{t} . \mathrm{km}^{-2}$ ) was off the southeast coast of the South Island, in water less than 100 m . Gemfish were more widely distributed than red cod, but catches were also usually small (Figure 14). The maximum catch (4.2 $\mathrm{t} . \mathrm{km}^{-2}$ ) was southwest of Stewart Island in $200-400 \mathrm{~m}$.

The largest catch rates by depth range were spiny dogfish and red cod in less than 100 m ; barracouta and spiny dogfish in $100-200 \mathrm{~m}$; arrow squid, silver warehou, and gemfish in $200-400 \mathrm{~m}$; and hoki, ling, and silver warehou in $400-600 \mathrm{~m}$ (Table 8).

## Wingspread biomass estimates

Biomass estimates were calculated for the 20 major species for the whole survey area and for the survey area minus areas of foul ground (see Table 7). Estimated biomass by depth and stratum for the 10 major species, and percent of the total biomass estimate for each species, are given in Table 9. (Doorspread biomass estimates for the 20 major species are given in Appendix 5.)

The ranking of species by estimated biomass was similar to that by catch weight, except that some of the shelf species (school shark, stargazers, red cod, blue warehou, tarakihi, hapuku, and blue cod)
increased in relative importance. The most abundant species were barracouta, spiny dogfish, and school shark, and they constituted $44.0,18.0$, and $4.5 \%$ respectively of the total estimated biomass. Hoki dropped from being the third most important species in terms of catch rate to being the fourth in terms of estimated biomass ( $4.5 \%$ of total estimated biomass).

The precision (coefficient of variation) of the biomass estimates for the 20 major species ranged from 10 to $65 \%$. Seventeen species had coefficients less than or equal to $50 \%$, and six of these (barracouta, spiny dogfish, school shark, rattails, hapuku, and smooth skate) were less than $20 \%$.

Four of the 10 major species had more than $50 \%$ of their biomass in a single stratum: arrow squid and gemfish in stratum 9, hoki in stratum 11, and red cod in stratum 1. Spiny dogfish had the most dispersed biomass, with a maximum of $28 \%$ in any stratum.

When the strata were combined by depth, species which had the highest percentage biomass in each depth range were: stargazers ( $46 \%$ ) and red cod ( $95 \%$ ) in $50-100 \mathrm{~m}$; barracouta ( $94 \%$ ), spiny dogfish ( $63 \%$ ), and school shark ( $81 \%$ ) in $100-200 \mathrm{~m}$; arrow squid $(72 \%)$, silver warehou ( $51 \%$ ), and gemfish ( $65 \%$ ) in $200-400 \mathrm{~m}$; and hoki ( $99 \%$ ) and ling ( $63 \%$ ) in $400-600 \mathrm{~m}$.

## Biology

Length frequency histograms of the major commercial species measured are shown in Figures $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 2}$. For the more abundant species which occurred in various depth ranges, a breakdown of length frequency by depth is given; for the other species, the total length frequency only is given. Individual station data were scaled by percentage sampled and area towed and then weighted by stratum area. The resulting length frequencies represent the population structure for the survey area, as sampled by bottom trawl, and the estimated total numbers of fish are given (numbers actually measured are given in Table 6). Other biological data were not scaled.

## Barracouta

Barracouta lengths ranged from 16 to 108 cm FL, with four clear modal peaks at about 23, 36-37, 61, and 72 cm and possibly one at 47 cm (Figure 15). In $50-100 \mathrm{~m}$, most fish were less than 45 cm , and the smallest modal group of $17-29 \mathrm{~cm}$ fish was dominant. In $100-200 \mathrm{~m}$, all five modal groups were apparent, but the larger fish predominated. Few fish were caught deeper than 200 m , and they were mostly over 60 cm .

The maximum length of males was smaller than that of females, and, of the fish that were sexed ( 40 cm and over), there were slightly fewer males overall ( $0.95: 1$ ). This ratio varied by depth and ranged from 0.42:1 in $50-100 \mathrm{~m}$ to $0.97: 1$ in $100-200 \mathrm{~m}$. The modal peaks of males and females were similar: 47, 62-63, and 72 cm for males; and 46-48, 61, and 72 cm for females.

The commercial size is assumed to be 60 cm , and about $33 \%$ of the population were at least this length.

Of the remaining $67 \%$, most $(90 \%)$ were under 40 cm .
Length-weight relationships were calculated for males, females, and both sexes combined (Table 10). The overall regression equation is similar to that calculated for Chatham Islands barracouta (Hurst and Bagley 1987).

Of 460 barracouta staged, $75 \%$ had immature or resting stage gonads (stages $1-2$ ) (Table 11). Only $2 \%$ (all males) were classified as ripe or running ripe, the rest being either in the early stage of maturity (stage 3) or recently spent (stage 6). Maximum gonadosomatic indices were less than 5 for males and 2 for females.

The left fillets of 146 of 187 barracouta examined $(78 \%$ ) were infected with a total of 790 trypanorhynchid cestode larvae of Gymnorhynchus thyrsitae (Table 12). The mean number of cestodes per fillet was 4.2 (range $0-49$ ), and ventral muscles were more heavily infected (total 731, mean 3.9) than dorsal muscles (total 59, mean 0.3). Percentage infection and infection rate generally increased with fish length.

Eight left barracouta fillets were also infected with the anisakid nematode Pseudoterranova decipiens, with a range of $1-12$ worms per fillet.

Stomach fullness, state of digestion of stomach contents, and identity of prey species were recorded for 458 barracouta. Most stomachs were part full ( $58 \%$ ) or empty ( $39 \%$ ), and the percentage of part full stomachs was greatest in the late afternoon (Table 13). Most food items were partly digested ( $65 \%$ ) at all times of the day, but fresh items were more common in the early morning (Table 14).

Euphausiids constituted $80 \%$ of food items (Table 15). Fish and squid were the next most abundant ( 12 and $8 \%$ ). Euphausiids were the most common prey type in all strata between 50 and 200 m , except stratum 1. Fish were the most common prey item in strata 1 and $9(50-100$ and $200-400 \mathrm{~m})$. Squid were more common in barracouta caught in strata 1 and 2 ( $50-100 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and in stratum 7 ( $100-200 \mathrm{~m}$ ).

## Hoki

Length frequency data for hoki were combined for all depths (Figure 22) because most fish were in $400-600 \mathrm{~m}$. Fish ranged from 27 to 117 cm TL. Modal peaks are not clear, but they appear to be at about 30 cm for unsexed fish; 51, 61, and 75 cm for males; and 61,68 , and 80 cm for females. Most fish over 40 cm were sexed, and the overall male to female ratio was 0.74 : 1 . Commercial size is assumed to be 60 cm , and $65 \%$ of the population were at least this length.

A total of 454 female hoki gonads were examined; $83 \%$ were stage 2 , and $17 \%$ were stage 3 .

## Arrow squid

Arrow squid ranged from 6 to 43 cm mantle length. Over all depths combined there appeared to be one mode, which peaked at $26-30 \mathrm{~cm}$ for males and $25-28 \mathrm{~cm}$ for females (Figure 16). When the data were analysed by depth it appeared that the overall stratum



Figure 15: Scaled length frequency distribution for barracouta by depth, with the estimated total number of fish ( $n$, total; M, male; $F$, female; $U$, unsexed).
weighted structure had been determined mainly by the large number of squid from $200-400 \mathrm{~m}$, which had a modal peak at 26 cm for both sexes. Squid in shallower

## Arrow squid







Mantle length (cm)

Males $\quad \square$
Females

Figure 16: Scaled length frequency distribution for arrow squid by depth, with the estimated total number of fish ( $n$, total; M, male; $F$, female; $\mathbf{U}$, unsexed).

Silver warehou


Figure 17: Scaled length frequency distribution for silver warehou by depth, with the estimated total number of fish ( $n$, total; M, male; $F$, female; $\mathbf{U}$, unsexed).


Figure 18: Scaled length frequency distribution for school shark by depth, with the estimated total number of fish ( $n$, total; M, male; $F$, female; $U$, unsexed).


Figure 19: Scaled length frequency distribution for ling by depth, with the estimated total number of fish ( $n$, total; M, male; $\mathbf{F}$, female; $U$, unsexed).

Stargazers
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Figure 20: Scaled length frequency distribution for stargazers by depth, with the estimated total number of fish.

Gemfish
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Figure 21: Scaled length frequency distribution for gemfish by depth, with the estimated total number of fish ( $n$, total; M, male; F, female).


Figure 22: Scaled length frequency distribution for other species, with the estimated total number of fish ( $n$, total; M, male; $\mathbf{F}$, female; $\mathbf{U}$, unsexed).

Table 9: Estimated biomass ( $t^{3}$ ) by depth and stratum for the 10 major species (and percent of the total biomass estimate for each species)

| Species | $50-100 \mathrm{~m}$ |  | $100-200 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |  | $200-400 \mathrm{~m}$ |  | $400-600 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Barracouta | 9.3 | 9.5 | 35.4 | 35.3 | 123.2 | 10.0 | 59.3 | -* | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | (3) | (3) | (13) | (13) | (43) | (4) | (21) | (0) | (1) | (0) | (0) |
| Spiny dogfish | 32.6 | 6.8 | 27.5 | 13.0 | 16.8 | 10.1 | 7.0 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.7 |
|  | (28) | (6) | (23) | (11) | (14) | (9) | (6) | (1) | (0) | (1) | (1) |
| Hoki | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.3 | 7.6 | 21.1 |
|  | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | (1) | (26) | (73) |
| Arrow squid | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 17.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
|  | (1) | (2) | (1) | (1) | (9) | (4) | (7) | (12) | (60) | (1) | (2) |
| Silver warehou | - | 0.5 | 0.4 | - | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 0.1 |
|  | (0) | (4) | (3) | (0) | (6) | (0) | (0) | (27) | (24) | (35) | (1) |
| School shark | 1.0 | 1.1 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 12.3 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 0.2 | 2.6 | - | 1.0 |
|  | (3) | (4) | (13) | (7) | (42) | (5) | (14) | (1) | (9) | (0) | (3) |
| Ling | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 3.3 |
|  | (2) | (0) | (9) | (3) | (16) | (1) | (0) | (7) | (0) | (34) | (29) |
| Stargazers | 1.5 | 11.3 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 6.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 1.8 |
|  | (5) | (41) | (6) | (9) | (22) | (0) | (1) | (0) | (8) | (1) | (7) |
| Red cod | 21.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | - | - | 0.4 | 0.0 | ) | 0.1 |
|  | (95) | (0) | (2) | (0) | (1) | (0) | (0) | (2) | (0) | (0) | (0) |
| Gemfish | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 7.3 | 0.1 | 0.7 |
|  | (0) | (1) | (14) | (4) | (5) | (0) | (4) | (1) | (64) | (1) | (6) |

* Less than 50 kg .

Table 10: Length-weight relationship for barracouta

|  | No. | Length (cm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Equation $\dagger$ | Regression coefficient ( $r$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | s.d.* | Range | Mean | s.d. | Range |  |  |
| Males | 194 | 70.9 | 12.9 | 24-88 | 1917 | 758.4 | 63-3500 | $W=0.0070 L^{2.92}$ | 0.98 |
| Females | 268 | 73.9 | 14.7 | 24-99 | 2131 | 897.5 | 86-4 300 | $W=0.0105 L^{2.12}$ | 0.99 |
| All fish $\ddagger$ | 465 | 72.4 | 14.2 | 22-99 | 2033 | 852.9 | 61-4300 | $W=0.0090 L^{2.80}$ | 0.99 |

* Standard deviation.
$\dagger W$ is weight in grams and $L$ is length in centimetres.
$\ddagger$ Includes unsexed juveniles.

Table 11: Reproductive state of barracouta

| Gonad stage | No. | Males | Females | Gonadosomatic index* |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Males |  | Females |  |
|  |  |  |  | No. | GSI | No. | GSI |
| 1 | 32 | 14 | 18 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 2 | 314 | 108 | 206 | 90 | 1.2 | 189 | 1.3 |
| 3 | 50 | 29 | 21 | 26 | 3.1 | 20 | 1.9 |
| 4 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 4.6 | 0 |  |
| 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4.1 | 0 |  |
| 6 | 54 | 32 | 22 | 22 | 1.7 | 21 | 1.4 |
| Total | 460 | 193 | 267 | 148 |  | 230 |  |

* Gonadosomatic index (GSI) $=\frac{\text { gonad weight }}{\text { body weight }} \times 100$.
and deeper strata had bimodal length frequency distributions, with peaks at 16-18 (both sexes), 30 (males), and $33-34 \mathrm{~cm}$ (females). This suggests there were at least two, possibly three, separate cohorts in the survey area.

Most squid 15 cm or longer could be sexed, and the ratio of males to females overall was about equal (1.03:1). However, females dominated in the shallowest ( $50-100 \mathrm{~m}$ ) strata (male : female, $0.79: 1$ ), whereas males dominated in the deepest ( $400-600 \mathrm{~m}$ ) strata ( $1.27: 1$ ). In intermediate depths the male to female ratio was about equal ( $0.92: 1$ ) in $100-200 \mathrm{~m}$ and $1.08: 1$ in $200-400 \mathrm{~m}$ ). Squid over 20 cm are assumed to be of most value to the fishery, and $75 \%$ of the population were in this category. Length-weight
relationships were calculated for males, females, and both sexes combined (Table 16).

Most of the 33 female arrow squid examined were either immature ( $30 \%$ ) or maturing ( $42 \%$ ), and only $21 \%$ were copulated. The smallest copulated female was 32.5 cm , and the largest noncopulated female was 36.5 cm . Most of the 34 males were mature ( $71 \%$ ), $24 \%$ were maturing, and $6 \%$ were immature. Mature males were over 28.6 cm .

Of 68 stomachs examined, $34 \%$ contained prey items. Of those stomachs containing food, $48 \%$ contained crustaceans (mainly euphausiids), 39\% squid, and $13 \%$ fish.

## Silver warehou

Silver warehou ranged from 11 to 56 cm FL, and there were modal peaks at $17-20,30-31$, and $46-47 \mathrm{~cm}$ for males and 48 cm for females (Figure 17). The two smaller modes predominated in shallower waters, $50-200 \mathrm{~m}$, the largest in depths of $200-600 \mathrm{~m}$.

All fish over 35 cm were sexed. The ratio of males to females was almost equal overall $(0.93: 1)$ and ranged from $0.83: 1$ in the deepest strata to $1: 1$ in $100-200 \mathrm{~m}$. Although the small fish are sometimes frozen whole, the fish of most commercial value are probably at least 30 cm , and fish of this size constituted $46 \%$ of the total number estimated.

Length-weight relationships were calculated for males, females, and both sexes combined (Table 17).

Table 12: Cestode (Gymnorhynchus thyrsifae) larval infection of barracouta left fillets by fish length

|  | No. of <br> fish | Infecled <br> $\left(0_{0}\right)$ | Mean No. <br> of larvae | s.d.* | Range |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Length (cm) | 2 | 50 | 0.50 | 0.71 | $0-1$ |
| $60-74$ | 62 | 60 | 2.08 | 3.61 | $0-19$ |
| $75-79$ | 65 | 81 | 3.88 | 4.66 | $0-29$ |
| $80-84$ | 42 | 95 | 6.26 | 6.64 | $0-24$ |
| $85-89$ | 11 | 100 | 6.18 | 8.80 | $1-30$ |
| $90-94$ | 5 | 80 | 15.40 | 19.78 | $1-49$ |
| $95-99$ | 187 | 78 | 4.23 | 6.35 | $0-49$ |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Standard deviation. |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 13: Barracouta stomach fullness by time of day

|  | No. of <br> stomachs | Empty <br> $(\%)$ | Part full <br> $(\%)$ | Full <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Time (h) | 119 | 38 | 59 | 3 |
| $0700-0930$ | 113 | 49 | 50 | 2 |
| $0931-1200$ | 158 | 39 | 58 | 3 |
| $1201-1430$ | 68 | 24 | 72 | 4 |
| $1431-1700$ | $458^{*}$ | 39 | 58 | 3 |
| All |  |  |  |  |

* The number of food items does not equal the total in Table 14 because one prey type was often present in more than one digestion state.

Table 14: Digestion state of food items in barracouta stomachs by time of day

|  | No. of <br> food items | Fresh <br> $(\%)$ | Partly digested <br> $(\%)$ | Digested <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Time (h) | 79 | 30 | 57 | 13 |
| $0700-0930$ | 59 | 19 | 68 | 14 |
| $0931-1200$ | 106 | 14 | 70 | 16 |
| $1201-1430$ | 57 | 25 | 63 | 12 |
| $1431-1700$ | 301 | 21 | 65 | 14 |
| All |  |  |  |  |

Table 15: Occurrence of barracouta food items by stratum

|  | No. of <br> food items | Euphausiids <br> $(\%)$ | Fish <br> $(\%)$ | Squid <br> $(\%)$ | Shrimp <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Stratum | 19 | 26 | 58 | 16 | 0 |
| 1 | 12 | 67 | 17 | 17 | 0 |
| 2 | 47 | 89 | 9 | 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 69 | 91 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 4 | 58 | 88 | 5 | 7 | 0 |
| 5 | 37 | 89 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| 6 | 35 | 86 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| 7 | 14 | 0 | 86 | 7 | 7 |
| 9 | 291 | 80 | 12 | 8 | 0 |

Three gonad stages (2-4) were found in the 100 fish examined (Table 18). Males were either maturing or mature, whereas most females were still maturing. Gonadosomatic indices were low: 6.3 for males and 3.6 or less for females.

Observations on the stomach fullness and state of food digestion of 100 silver warehou suggested no clear relationship with time of capture. Salps and euphausiids were found in 65 and $1 \%$ of stomachs, and $34 \%$ were empty.

## School shark

School shark ranged from 72 to 167 cm TL, and they peaked overall at 117 cm ( 117 cm for males and 120 cm for females) (Figure 18). Other modal peaks were not clear. The length frequency distributions of
fish in $50-200 \mathrm{~m}$ and $200-600 \mathrm{~m}$ were similar, except that there were less smaller fish in deeper water.

The ratio of males to females overall was $1.14: 1$. This changed from 1.01:1 in shallower water to $2.56: 1$ in deeper water. Males and females reached a similar maximum size. A total of 453 school shark were tagged ( 251 males and 202 females). Individual weights were recorded for 495 fish, and the lengthweight relationships for males, females, and both sexes combined are given in Table 19.

The male maturity stages related to fish length were: stage $1,83-121 \mathrm{~cm}$; stage $2,103-144 \mathrm{~cm}$; and stage 3 , $116-161 \mathrm{~cm}$.

## Ling

Ling ranged from 40 to 145 cm TL, and they peaked overall at 85 cm ( 85 cm for males and 88 cm for females) (Figure 19). Other modal peaks were not clear. There were fewer fish in shallower water ( $0-200 \mathrm{~m}$ ), but they were larger on average than those in deeper water $(200-600 \mathrm{~m})$. The ratio of males to females overall was about equal ( $0.93: 1$ ); males were less common in shallow water ( $0.47: 1$ in $0-200 \mathrm{~m}$ ), but predominated in deeper water (1.09:1 in $200-600 \mathrm{~m})$. The maximum size of males ( 116 cm ) was much less than that of females. Most $(86 \%)$ of the fish caught were probably of commercial size (over 60 cm ). General observations on gonad stages showed that the fish were mainly resting.

## Stargazers

Stargazers ranged from 14 to 84 cm TL (Figure 20). The determination of modal peaks is probably meaningless because there may have been more than one species present. Most of the fish were between 40 and 70 cm , and the larger fish occurred in deeper water (which may have also been because of differences between species). The stargazers measured were not sexed. Stargazers examined on station 48 were feeding on octopus and large arrow squid, and the gonads of females were maturing.

## Gemfish

Gemfish ranged from 23 to 94 cm FL, and there were modal peaks at 27-33, 42, and 58-61 cm (Figure 21). The smallest modal group was absent from deeper water (over 200 m ), and the medium group was absent from shallow water (under 200 m ). All fish were sexed, and the overall ratio of males to females was $0.85: 1$. Males predominated in shallow water (1.28:1), and females predominated in deeper water ( $0.76: 1$ ). Commercial size is assumed to be 50 cm , and $93 \%$ of the fish were this size or larger.

## Red cod

Red cod ranged from 18 to $69 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{TL}, 98 \%$ being at least 40 cm (Figure 22). Modal peaks were difficult to interpret, but the main peak was at 48 cm . None of the fish were sexed, and an analysis by depth was not done because most fish were caught in less than 100 m .

Table 16: Length-weight relationship for arrow squid

|  |  | Length (cm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Equation $\dagger$ | Regression coefficient (r) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Mean | s.d.* | Range | Mcan | s.d. | Range |  |  |
| Males | 33 | 29.5 | 2.45 | 20.5-32.5 | 664 | 165.0 | 260-1 000 | $W=0.0169 L^{3.12}$ | 0.93 |
| Females | 33 | 32.7 | 3.30 | 23.0-37.0 | 762 | 214.8 | 260-1 200 | $W=0.0130 L^{3.4}$ | 0.96 |
| All fish | 66 | 31.1 | 3.31 | 20.5-37.0 | 714 | 196.9 | 260-1 200 | $W=0.0550 L^{2.4}$ | 0.92 |

* Standard deviation.
$\dagger W$ is weight in grams and $L$ is length in centimetres.

Table 17: Length-weight relationship for silver warehou


Table 18: Reproductive state of silver warehou

| Gonad stage | Gonadosomatic index* |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males |  | Females |  |
|  | No. | GSI | No. | GSI |
| 2 | 0 |  | 2 | 1.9 |
| 3 | 24 | 6.3 | 44 | 3.6 |
| 4 | 29 | 6.3 | 1 | 3.4 |
| Tolal | 53 |  | 47 |  |
| * Gon | c ind | $=1$ | $\frac{\mathrm{ht}}{} \times$ |  |

## Blue warehou

Blue warehou ranged from 34 to 67 cm FL, with a small modal peak at $38-39 \mathrm{~cm}$ and a large modal peak at 61 cm (both sexes) (Figure 22). There may be additional peaks at $49-52 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $56-57 \mathrm{~cm}$. These fish are probably all of commercial size. Length frequencies were not analysed by depth because of the small sample size. All fish were sexed, and the ratio of males to females was 1:1.

## Tarakihi

Tarakihi ranged from 11 to 52 cm FL , with clear modal peaks at 20, 25, and 42 cm (Figure 22). Most fish were small, only $27 \%$ being at least 35 cm (i.e., the approximate commercial size). They were not sexed, and length frequencies were not analysed by depth range because most tarakihi were taken in shallow water.

## Hapuku

Hapuku ranged from 47 to 98 cm TL, most being less than 65 cm (Figure 22). Males appeared to have modal peaks at $53,62,66$, and 70 cm , whereas females peaked at $55,58,63$, and 69 cm . The male to female ratio was $0.56: 1$.

## Rig

Rig ranged from 60 to 137 cm TL, most being between 80 and 110 cm (Figure 22). Modal peaks were difficult to distinguish. The male to female ratio was 0.88:1.

## Blue cod

Blue cod ranged from 10 to 58 cm TL, most being between 25 and 45 cm (Figure 22). Only about $12 \%$ were sexed, so a ratio is not given. Gonad stages 3 and 4 were recorded for both sexes.

## Jack mackerel

Jack mackerel ranged from 17 to 63 cm FL, with modal peaks at 19, 46, and 57 cm (Figure 22). They were mostly Trachurus declivis, but T. murphyii (see Kawahara et al. (1988) for the first published record of this species from New Zealand waters) were also present in the larger modal group.

## Other species

Hake ranged from 68 to 118 cm TL ( $n=57$ ), and the numbers of males and females were about equal. The two male gonads examined were stages 4 and 5; females were stage $2(n=10)$ and stage $3(n=14)$. Bluenose ranged from 50 to 77 cm TL ( $n=12$ ). Gonads of one male and five females were in the resting stage. Ray's bream ranged from 31 to 44 cm FL ( $n=29$ ). Other observations on gonad stages were: white warehou, stage $3(n=10)$; lookdown dory, stage $2(n=13)$.

## Hydrology

Surface and bottom temperatures (from combined ship recorder and XBT data) are shown in Figure 23. Surface temperatures showed little variability over the survey area. They decreased gradually from over $12{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the northwest (Puysegur Bank, Solander Island, Stewart Island area) to over $11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the southeast (Snares Islands to the southeast South Island). Temperatures down to $9.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were recorded over the edge of the eastern slope.

Bottom temperatures over the shelf areas were similar to surface temperatures, usually being within $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ less. They followed the same general trend of being warmer (over $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in the northwest and east of Stewart Island and slightly cooler (over $11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) on
the southern and eastern shelf. In deeper areas of the slope, bottom temperatures decreased sharply, though they were still warmer in the northeast than in the southwest (XBT minima at about 500 m were 10.3 and
$7.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively). This trend is illustrated in a vertical profile of XBT stations drawn diagonally from the northeast (near Solander Island) to the southeast slope (see Figures 4 and 24).

Table 19: Length-weight relationship for school shark


* Standard deviation.
$\dagger W$ is weight in grams and $L$ is length in centimetres.


Figure 23: Surface and bottom temperatures.


Figure 23-continued.


Figure 24: Vertical profile of XBT stations on a northwest-southwest diagonal from near Solander lsland to the southeast slope (see Figure 4).

## Discussion

The study area is just north of the Subtropical Convergence, a front between water masses of subtropical (northern) and subantarctic (southern) origin. This front passes from west to east around southern New Zealand, usually just south of the Stewart-Snares shelf, and extends northeast along the continental shelf of the South Island (Garner 1959). This convergence is defined roughly by surface isotherms of $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in February and $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in August.

The convergence is not easy to locate from data collected from trawl surveys over several weeks and without salinity data. However, it appears that the steeper temperature gradients are often on the eastern edge of the Stewart-Snares shelf, which suggests that water over the shelf is subtropical or a mixture of subtropical and subantarctic in origin.

Comparison of temperature patterns from June 1986 and the four previous Shinkai Maru surveys off Southland (see Table 5 for dates and references) suggests that there is little difference in surface and bottom temperatures within (about $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) or between (about $2-3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) surveys over the main shelf ( $<200 \mathrm{~m}$ ). The late summer surveys in 1981 and 1982 recorded relatively warm temperatures compared with early autumn and spring 1983, the latter possibly caused by the El Nino that year. The winter 1986 temperatures are intermediate between the 1983 lows and the late summer highs.

Other features common to all surveys were a gradual decrease in temperature from the northwest to the southeast of the survey area and a sharper decrease with depth over the upper slope, down to about $7-8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 600 m .

Fish caught during the survey were typical of shelf and upper slope faunas influenced by the Subtropical Convergence, with a few exceptions which are discussed below. In all five surveys, barracouta, spiny dogfish, arrow squid, and hoki were among the five most abundant species. Gemfish, ling, and blue warehou were among the seven major species in at least three of the five surveys. Wingspread biomass estimates for the 10 major species from the June 1986 survey and comparable data from previous Shinkai Maru surveys are given in Table 20. All previous surveys included areas south of the Subtropical Convergence, and these areas showed distinct changes in species composition, with hoki, southern blue whiting, ling, arrow squid, ghost shark, and rattails being the most abundant species in depths less than 600 m .

Comparison of the catch composition of StewartSnares shelf and upper slope surveys with that of surveys just north of the Subtropical Convergence (i.e., the west and east coasts of the South Island and the Chatham Rise - see Fenaughty and Bagley 1981, Hurst and Fenaughty 1985, Hurst and Bagley 1987, Livingston et al. in press) suggests that the most
abundant species in each area tend to be similar, particularly barracouta, spiny dogfish, hoki, and arrow squid. Exceptions are gemfish and blue warehou, which appear to be less common on the Chatham Rise, and tarakihi, which are abundant in all areas except the Stewart-Snares shelf. This pattern is unlikely to be explained by inadequate sampling of seasonal abundance or depth distribution.

Comparison of catch compositions of the five southern trawl surveys with commercial catch data for area F (see Tables 1 and 20) suggests that most of the abundant species are caught and processed by commercial vessels. Notable exceptions are stargazers and school shark, which are not major species in the total commercial catch. This may be mainly because the larger trawlers are excluded from the 12 n . mile territorial sea, where these species were most abundant in this survey (see Figures 11 and 12). However, school shark have been an undesirable catch for deepwater trawlers, and these vessels have often not processed or reported them. Oreos are a big part of commercial catches in area F (see Table 1), but were not caught during the trawl survey because they occur in water deeper than 600 m .

None of the wingspread biomass estimates from the June 1986 survey were significantly lower than estimates from the same area and depth range from previous surveys (see Table 20). However, estimates for five species (barracouta, spiny dogfish, school shark, stargazers, and silver warehou) were significantly greater than those from some or all of the previous surveys. These differences are not necessarily related to changes in the abundance or availability of the fish, but may be caused by the differences in sampling areas (see Figure 2). The June 1986 survey area was greater than in all previous surveys of the Stewart-Snares Islands area to 600 m , by a factor of about 1.5 . Thus, if it were assumed that there would have been equal catch rates in unsurveyed areas, for the June 1986 biomass estimates to represent significant changes in availability or abundance, their lower bounds would need to be greater than the upper bounds of the other survey estimates by about 1.5 (N.B., this is only approximate because the area differences should ideally be related to the depth range applicable to each species). However, for all five species there are still significant differences in biomass estimates even when this factor is accounted for.

The upper bound of the barracouta biomass estimate from October-November 1983 is significantly less than the June 1986 lower bound, by at least 6.5 times (the mean biomass differs by 16 times). It is also lower than that on all other surveys, except MarchApril 1982, which had a high coefficient of variation ( $50 \%$ ). This suggests either movement of barracouta out of the survey area or much reduced availability to bottom trawls during late winter-spring (i.e., the

Table 20: Wingspread biomass estimates and coelficients of varialion (c.l.) of the $\mathbf{1 0}$ major species l'rom the Junc I986 survey, and comparable data* firom previous Shinkai Mara surveys off Southland in 0-600 m, 1981-86

|  | Feb 19811 |  | Mar-Apr 1982 |  | Apr 1983 |  | Oct-Nov 1983 |  | Jun 1986 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Biomass $\left(10^{\prime} 1\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & c \cdot v . \\ & (\% / 0) \end{aligned}$ | Biomass $\left(10^{\prime} t\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & c \cdot v_{0} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | Biomass $\left(10^{\prime} 1\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & c \cdot v . \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | Biomass $\left(10^{2} \mathrm{t}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & c . v . \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | Biomass $\left(10^{\prime} 1\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & c . v . \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Arrow squid | 21.3 | 20 | 17.6 | 30 | 10.9 | 22 | 17.1 | 29 | 29.1 | 30 |
| Barracoutia | 99.5 | 25 | 102.8 | 50 | 200.4 | 25 | 18.5 | 27 | 292.2 | 18 |
| Hoki | 108.6 | 37 | 77.6 | 69 | 52.8 | 45 | 9.8 | 46 | 29.0 | 50 |
| Ling | 22.1 | 16 | .58.53.8 | 40 | 5.6 | 21 | 12.4 | 48 | 11.6 | 21 |
| Red cod | 0.1 | 30 | 0.2 | 56 | 0.3 | 54 | 1.8 | 54 | 22.6 | 65 |
| School shark | 10.7 | 22 | 3.1 | 19 | 13.6 | 15 | 2.6 | 38 | 30.4 | 17 |
| Gemfish | 18.4 | 17 | 14.5 | 31 | 26.8 | 33 | 4.3 | 42 | 11.5 | 26 |
| Spiny dogfish | 71.0 | 25 | 32.9 | 19 | 50.9 | 16 | 51.6 | 31 | 125.0 | 10 |
| Stargazers | 5.0 | 16 | 3.6 | 18 | 10.0 | 36 | 3.9 | 21 | 28.1 | 40 |
| Silver warehou | 10.6 | 25 | 0.4 | 47 | 0.7 | 29 | 1.0 | 50 | 13.7 | 31 |

* Strata: Feb 1981, 1-6; Mar-Apr 1982, 1-3; Apr 1983, 1-5; Oci-Nov 1983, 1-3 (see Figure 2 for details on strata, number ol stations, station density, and area and Table 5 for references to previous surveys). Not all species biomass essimates were published and missing values were calculated from data files held at MAIF Fisheries Greta Point, Wellington. The Jun 1986 survey included Puysegur Bank and the Solander corridor, which were only partly sampled in Mar-Apr 1982 and Apr 1983 and were not sampled at all in the other surveys. $\dagger$ Four stations in stratum 6 were ofl' the Auckland Istands.
spawning season). From commercial data it is known that large catches and high catch rates of barracouta have been taken from the Solander corridor area during spring (Hurst 1988). This area was not included in the October-November 1983 survey, and, therefore, movement away from the Stewart-Snares shelf seems the most likely explanation for the low biomass estimate (which invalidates the assumption of equal catch rates in unsurveyed areas). None of the summer, autumn, or winter biomass estimates appear to be significantly different when the survey area factor is taken into account.

The June 1986 spiny dogfish biomass estimate is significantly greater than the estimates from all other surveys except February 1981. If allowance were made for the different survey areas, only the estimate from the March-April 1982 survey is less than that from the June 1986 survey. However, the assumption of equal catch rates in areas not surveyed before 1986 may not be valid because the highest catch rates in June 1986 were recorded inside the 12 n . mile territorial sea limit. There are likely to be seasonal changes in abundance because they have been found in other surveys. For example, spring and summer trawl surveys off Southland by W.J. Scott during 1974-77 caught more spiny dogfish from January to April than from October to December (Fenaughty and O'Sullivan 1978). Such seasonal (or any annual) changes are not detectable from the series of biomass estimates given here.

The June 1986 school shark biomass estimate is significantly greater than estimates from all previous surveys. Allowance for the area factor results in the estimate being significantly greater than those from the March-April 1982 and October-November 1983 surveys, the lower bound of the June survey being 4.6 times the upper bound of the other surveys. As with spiny dogfish, the June 1986 survey recorded the higher catch rates in areas not sampled in previous surveys, and, therefore, the assumption of equal catch rates in these areas is probably not valid. Thus, any seasonal or annual changes in abundance suggested by the data are obscured by the survey area differences.

However, some seasonal change in abundance may occur, because tagging results from the June 1986 survey and a later survey off Southland have shown that school shark can move at least 700 n . miles. Most of the larger movements from this area were up the east coast of the South Island (McGregor 1988), but one fish was recaptured as far north as Cape Egmont, on the west coast of the North Island.

The stargazer biomass estimate from June 1986 is significantly greater than those from March-April 1982 and October-November 1983. However, the differences are less than the differences in the survey areas. As with the two previous species, much of the June 1986 biomass came from areas not included in the previous surveys, and so seasonal and annual changes in abundance cannot be detected. The W.J. Scotl surveys found little seasonal variation in the catch rate of stargazer through spring and summer (Fenaughty and O'Sullivan 1978).

The silver warehou biomass estimate from June 1986 is significantly greater than all previous estimates except that from February 1981. Comparison of the respective lower and upper bounds suggests differences of at least 8.5 times in March-April 1982, 4.7 in April 1983, and 3.4 in October-November 1983. This could represent significant changes in annual abundance because silver warehou are fast growing (Gavrilov 1979), and year class strengths could vary greatly from year to year. However, variability in vertical distribution may also be an important factor, affecting the availability to bottom trawl gear. Differences in areas surveyed are not likely to be important because the highest catch rates were recorded off the eastern edge of the Stewart-Snares shelf.

Trends in silver warehou commercial catch data (Livingston 1988) also suggest that large changes in annual abundance could be a feature of the Southland fishery. Annual catches vary about three-fold from the 1981-82 fishing year (April to March) to the 1985-86 fishing year (October to September), the most recent year recording the highest catch. Thus, catches show a similar trend to that in biomass. A summary of
seasonal catch data from 1978 to 1984 suggests little seasonal variation over the Stewart-Snares shelf, except for a slight increase in spring.

From the biomass estimates given in Table 20, and from the catch rate diagrams given here (see Figures 5-14) and published previously (see Table 5 for references), seasonal changes in distribution and the relative importance of the Solander corridor to Puysegur Bank area have been summarised for five of the main species (Table 21). Other species either had relatively low catch rates or distribution maps were not provided in all of the survey reports.

In four of the five surveys, barracouta catch rates over 1 t per 0.5 h were recorded around the Snares Islands. These surveys were all in late summer to early winter. No high catch rates were recorded in the spring survey, and, because there was also a significantly lower biomass estimate during this survey, this suggests that fish had moved away from the Stewart-Snares shelf, possibly to the Solander corridor area, where high catch rates for barracouta are recorded during spring.

Hoki are taken mainly in deeper water (400-800 m), and surveys down to 600 m in area $F$ may be sampling only the shallow limit of their distribution in the Southland and Sub-Antarctic areas. Four of the five surveys recorded catch rates over 1 t per 0.5 h on the slope south, east, and/or northeast of the StewartSnares shelf. Only in the June 1986 survey were high catch rates recorded in the Solander Island to Puysegur Bank area, which could reflect a seasonal change in distribution. Patchell (1983) described seasonal hoki commercial fishing activity off Puysegur Bank in 1982. It began in early June and peaked in late September. Patchell suggested that this pattern was consistent with the hypothesis that hoki migrate to and from the west coast South Island spawning grounds via the Snares slope and Puysegur Bank.

Spiny dogfish are fairly common on the StewartSnares shelf, and catch rates of over 1 t per 0.5 h were recorded in the south in three of the five surveys. The low catch rates in the south and higher catch rates in the northeast in June 1986 suggest a more northern distribution of the species in the survey area during winter. (N.B., the biomass estimate from the June

Table 21: General distribution of five of the main species* from five Shinkai Maru surveys off Southland in 0-600 $\mathrm{m} \dagger$

|  | BAR | HOK | SPD | ASQ | LIN |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Feb 1981 | SNA | S, NE | S, E, NE | S | E? |
| Mar-Apr 1982 | SNA | NE | SNA | S, SE | E? |
| Apr 1983 | SNA | S | - | S, SOL | E |
| Oct-Nov 1983 | - | - | S | SE | SE |
| Jul 1986 | SNA | E, PUY | NE | PUY, E | E, PUY |

* Species codes: BAR, barracouta; HOK, hoki; SPD, spiny dog Jish; ASQ, arrow squid; LIN, ling.
$\dagger$ See Table 5 for references to previous surveys. Catch rates of at least 1.0 t per 0.5 h for $\mathrm{BAR}, \mathrm{HOK}$, and $\mathrm{SPD} ; 0.5 \mathrm{t}$ per 0.5 h for ASQ; and 0.2 t per 0.5 h for LIN. Catch rates from the Jun 1986 survey have been converted to tonnes per 0.5 h by assuming equal catch rate over time towed.
Area codes: E, east; S, south; NE, northeast; SE, southeast; PUY, Puysegur; SNA, Snares Islands; SOL, Solander.
survey was not significantly lower than that from the other surveys.) The W.J. Scott survey off Southland found low catch rates during spring and high catch rates during summer. This seasonal pattern is not apparent in the Shinkai Maru data, perhaps because the shallower depth range of spiny dogfish was inadequately sampled in spring and summer.

Arrow squid were usually caught at most stations on the Stewart-Snares shelf and upper slope. The highest catch rates were more common on the south and southeastern edges of the shelf and were quite localised (except in March-April 1982). Two of the three surveys which included the Solander Island to Puysegur Bank area also found high catch rates. There were no apparent changes in seasonal distribution.

Catch rates of ling were low in most surveys, the higher rates of over 0.2 t per 0.5 h being most common on the southern and eastern Stewart-Snares slope. The only survey to include Puysegur Bank (June 1986) also found evidence of higher catch rates there. These findings are consistent with the main ling fishing areas reported by commercial vessels (Patchell 1987). Previous surveys which extended south to the SubAntarctic area (March-April 1982 and OctoberNovember 1983) found better and more consistent catch rates in this area.

The length frequency modes of arrow squid, barracouta, and hoki from the five Shinkai Maru surveys of the Stewart-Snares shelf are summarised in Figure 25 (N.B., the February 1981 report gave data for arrow squid only). Comparisons are limited because the presentation of results varied (e.g., by scaling by time or distance towed or stratum area). Other species were not measured often enough for meaningful comparison.

Arrow squid characteristically have two or three distinct modal peaks, with a peak of about 20 cm present in all seasons, which suggests that spawning occurs throughout the year. The larger modal peak, at about 30 cm , was absent or insignificant in all three late summer to early autumn surveys on the StewartSnares shelf, but was predominant in the same surveys around the Auckland Islands. This could indicate a seasonal movement of larger squid away from the Stewart-Snares shelf, perhaps south to the Auckland Islands, or it could just be a reflection of different cohorts in different areas. In June 1986 the larger modal peak ( 34 cm ) was also present off Southland, but was less important than the $16-18$ and 26 cm peaks (see Figure 16). Measurements taken by scientific observers on commercial vessels during summerautumn 1987 and 1988 also found few larger squid on the Stewart-Snares shelf, but mainly larger squid around the southern Auckland Islands (R. H. Mattlin pers. comm.).

In October-November 1983 both the smaller (about 20 cm ) and larger (about 30 cm ) modal peaks were present in both areas. Spawning appears to occur in both areas in winter, because juvenile squid ( $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$ mean mantle length) were found on the Stewart-Snares shelf and the Aucklands Shelf in August-September
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Figure 25: Main length frequency modes for arrow squid, barracouta, and hoki from the five Shinkai Maru surveys off Southland in $0-600 \mathrm{~m}$ (see Table 5 for references to previous surveys).

1985 by a Kaiyo Maru survey (Uozumi 1987). Ït is not known whether the presence of larger squid only off the Auckland Islands in summer-autumn reflects area or temperature preferences for spawning at this time of year.

Barracouta show between three and five clear modal groups, with modes of the larger fish (over about 70 cm ) becoming obscured. An exception to this was in March-April 1982, when only the larger mode was present, perhaps because of the lack of sampling in shallow water, the sampling strategy for fish measurement, or the weak year class strengths of smaller fish. The combined mode of larger fish was always dominant, except in the October-November

1983 survey, when the smallest mode ( $38-39 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) dominated. This, with the significantly lower biomass estimate, supports the earlier suggestion that adult sized fish undergo a spawning migration, in late winter to early spring, away from the area sampled in the spring survey.

The appearance of a modal peak at $23 \mathrm{~cm}(0+y)$ in the June 1986 survey is probably due to the increased sampling of more inshore waters compared with the other surveys. This year class is often found only in shallow water less than 100 m in bottom trawl surveys (e.g., see Hurst and Bagley 1987).

The 200-600 m depth range on the Stewart-Snares slope only samples the shallow edge of the hoki population, and so modal peaks may not be representative of the population as a whole. In general, this depth range had smaller modal peaks around 30 and 40 cm , which were not present (or greatly reduced) in areas to the south and east. Adult sized fish (over about 50 cm ) are usually less prominent in the StewartSnares Islands area than in areas to the south and east, but the maximum fish size is similar.

Comparison of biomass estimates, species distributions, and length frequencies from the June 1986 survey with the four previous Shinkai Maru surveys illustrates the value of conducting several surveys with the same vessel in one area over several years and seasons. It also highlights the importance of the area surveyed in the interpretation of results and trends. For example, the seasonal importance of the Solander Island to Puysegur Bank area in the Southland fishery would have been better understood if the earlier surveys had included this area. For some species, the importance of sampling in the 12 n . mile territorial sea to obtain more accurate estimates of biomass and fish size was also apparent from the June 1986 survey.

To some extent these problems when comparing surveys result from different survey objectives. Earlier surveys concentrated either on arrow squid, and thus included the Auckland Islands (February 1981 and April 1983), or included investigating the much wider distribution of hoki and southern blue whiting as major objectives (March-April 1982 and OctoberNovember 1983). The June 1986 survey was shorter and had less daylight hours in which to sample; therefore, it concentrated on the shelf and upper slope species of the Southland area, and it included waters in the 12 n . mile territorial sea and in the Solander Island to Puysegur Bank area.

The Southland area (EEZ area F) fisheries are important for New Zealand, and the surveys carried out by Shinkai Maru have provided valuable data on the species potential there. Future research should include additional seasonal surveys of the complete area, to overcome the problem outlined above and provide a continuing time series of surveys to enable trends in species biomass to be better estimated and understood.
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## Appendix 1

Trawl net plans
(Large figures denote mesh size in terms of "knot to knot" and small figures denote the number of meshes.)
(1) Larger net


| 100 |
| :---: |
| 80 |

(2) Smaller net


## Appendix 2

Individual station data*

| Station No. | Date | Start time | Start position |  | Start depll (m) | Stratum No. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Tow } \\ \text { length } \\ \text { (n. mile) } \end{array}$ | Net width (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{\circ}$ 'S | - 'E |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 5/6/86 | 0910 | 4622 | 170) 28 | 225 | 8 | 2.2 | 37.7 |
| 2 | 5/6/86 | 1630 | 4647 | 16957 | 512 | 10 | 3.2 | 34.1 |
| 3 | 6/6/86 | 0815 | 4709 | 16853 | 117 | 3 | 2.7 | 24.9 |
| 4 | 6/6/86 | 1045 | 4705 | 16852 | 110 | 3 | 3.2 | 24.9 |
| 5 | 6/6/86 | 1330 | 4700 | 16920 | 123 | 3 | 3.6 | 24.9 |
| 6 | 7/6/86 | 0755 | 4707 | 16833 | 100 | 3 | 2.6 | 24.9 |
| 7 | 7/6/86 | 1000 | 4707 | 16828 | 100 | 4 | 2.8 | 24.9 |
| 8 | 7/6/86 | 1200 | 4714 | 16821 | 103 | 4 | 2.8 | 24.9 |
| 9 | 7/6/86 | 1400 | 4711 | 16812 | 97 | 2 | 3.4 | 19.7 |
| 10 | 7/6/86 | 1605 | 4702 | 16824 | 81 | 1 | 3.3 | 19.7 |
| 11 | 8/6/86 | 0800 | 4755 | 16847 | 570 | 10 | 3.0 | 34.1 |
| 12 | 8/6/86 | 1020 | 4748 | 16856 | 558 | 10 | 3.6 | 34.1 |
| 13 | 8/6/86 | 1235 | 4739 | 16859 | 472 | 10 | 3.0 | 34.1 |
| 14 | 8/6/86 | 1426 | 4731 | 16904 | 270 | 8 | 2.9 | 37.7 |
| 15 | 8/6/86 | 1625 | 4725 | 16858 | 127 | 3 | 3.1 | 24.9 |
| 16 | 9/6/86 | 0745 | 4811 | 16826 | 335 | 8 | 3.4 | 37.7 |
| 17 | 9/6/86 | 1000 | 4806 | 16836 | 600 | 10 | 3.1 | 34.1 |
| 18 | 9/6/86 | 1320 | 4802 | 16820 | 137 | 6 | 3.8 | 24.9 |
| 19 | 9/6/86 | 1610 | 4753 | 16843 | 317 | 8 | 4.0 | 37.7 |
| 20 | 12/6/86 | 0840 | 4812 | 16804 | 136 | 61 | 2.9 | - $\ddagger$ |
| 21 | 12/6/86 | 1220 | 4800 | 16802 | 137 | $4 \dagger$ | 2.0 | - |
| 22 | 12/6/86 | 1605 | 4759 | 16743 | 140 | $6 \dagger$ | 2.1 | - |
| 23 | 13/6/86 | 0842 | 4814 | 16706 | 148 | 7 | 3.1 | 27.5 |
| 24 | 13/6/86 | 1115 | 4817 | 16655 | 150 | 7 | 3.0 | 27.5 |
| 25 | 13/6/86 | 1340 | 4821 | 16700 | 146 | 7 | 3.0 | 27.5 |
| 26 | 13/6/86 | 1545 | 4822 | 16703 | 144 | 7 | 3.2 | 27.5 |
| 27 | 14/6/86 | 0900 | 4831 | 16724 | 138 | 6 | 3.1 | 27.5 |
| 28 | 14/6/86 | 1300 | 4849 | 16725 | 546 | 10 | 3.0 | 33.4 |
| 29 | 14/6/86 | 1610 | 4838 | 16749 | 494 | 10 | 3.0 | 33.4 |
| 30 | 15/6/86 | 1020 | 4819 | 16626 | 158 | 7 | 3.8 | 27.5 |
| 31 | 15/6/86 | 1405 | 4804 | 16637 | 142 | 7 | 2.6 | 27.5 |
| 32 | 16/6/86 | 0830 | 4747 | 16722 | 145 | 5 | 3.0 | 27.5 |
| 33 | 16/6/86 | 1230 | 4729 | 16712 | 155 | 5 | 2.2 | 27.5 |
| 34 | 16/6/86 | 1525 | 4724 | 16700 | 281 | 9 | 3.6 | 34.4 |
| 35 | 17/6/86 | 0815 | 4728 | 16733 | 142 | 4 | 3.0 | 27.5 |
| 36 | 17/6/86 | 1055 | 4721 | 16716 | 155 | 5 | 3.5 | 27.5 |
| 37 | 17/6/86 | 1355 | 4704 | 16725 | 115 | 5 | 3.7 | 27.5 |
| 38 | 17/6/86 | 1630 | 4651 | 16717 | 20! | $9 \dagger$ | 2.4 | - |
| 39 | 18/6/86 | 0810 | 4616 | 16649 | 96 | 2 | 2.8 | 21.8 |
| 40 | 18/6/86 | 1005 | 4619 | 16635 | 250 | 9 | 2.7 | 34.4 |
| 41 | 18/6/86 | 1140 | 4625 | 16639 | 243 | 9 | 2.7 | 34.4 |
| 42 | 18/6/86 | 1325 | 4628 | 16647 | 163 | 5 | 3.1 | 27.5 |
| 43 | 18/6/86 | 1547 | 4634 | 16646 | 433 | 11 | 3.5 | 33.4 |
| 44 | 19/6/86 | 1140 | 4625 | 16630 | 441 | 11 | 3.4 | 33.4 |
| 45 | 19/6/86 | 1643 | 4626 | 16720 | 80 | $2 \dagger$ | 2.4 | - |
| 46 | 20/6/86 | 0800 | 4647 | 16556 | 276 | 9 | 3.0 | 34.4 |
| 47 | 20/6/86 | 1055 | 4637 | 16600 | 484 | $11+$ | 3.6 | - |
| 48 | 20/6/86 | 1308 | 4630 | 16600 | 2.48 | 9 | 3.4 | 34.4 |
| 49 | 20/6/86 | 1525 | 4623 | 16600 | 145 | 5 | 3.7 | 27.5 |
| 50 | 21/6/86 | 0820 | 4631 | 167 II | 132 | 5 | 3.2 | 27.5 |
| 51 | 21/6/86 | 1120 | 4641 | 16659 | 498 | 11 | 2.6 | 33.4 |
| 52 | 22/6/86 | 1010 | 4741 | 16800 | 138 | 4 | 3.2 | 27.5 |
| 53 | 22/6/86 | 1250 | 4734 | 16829 | 128 | 4 | 3.7 | 27.5 |
| 54 | 22/6/86 | 1530 | 4724 | 16815 | 117 | 4 | 3.8 | 27.5 |
| 55 | 23/6/86 | 0810 | 4649 | 16859 | 88 | 1 | 3.4 | 21.8 |
| 56 | 23/6/86 | 1145 | 4648 | 16932 | 116 | 3 | 3.0 | 27.5 |
| 57 | 23/6/86 | 1400 | 4636 | 16939 | 82 | 1 | 3.2 | 21.8 |
| 58 | 23/6/86 | 1615 | 4625 | 17800 | 82 | 1 | 3.7 | 21.8 |

* Species codes: BAR, barracoula; SPD, spiny doglish; HOK, hoki; ASQ, arrow squid; SWA, silver warehou; SCH, school shark; LIN,
ling; STA, stargazers; RCO, red cod; SKI, gemfish.
+ Fouled trawl shot.
$\ddagger$ No value calculated for wingspread.

| Station No. | Catch (kg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BAR | SPD | HOK | ASQ | SW'A | SCH | LIN | STA | RCO | SKI | All species |
| 1 | 7 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 397 |
| 2 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 107 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 348 |
| 3 | 1497 | 524 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 52 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 2336 |
| 4 | 320 | 458 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 101 | 4 | 25 | 0 | 33 | 1225 |
| 5 | 1 023 | 914 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 91 | 22 | 13 | 48 | 0 | 2898 |
| 6 | 181 | 229 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 28 | 0 | 109 | 1 | 46 | 788 |
| 7 | 199 | 439 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 8 | 847 |
| 8 | 194 | 200 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 10 | 673 |
| 9 | 100 | 426 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 67 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 9 | 942 |
| 10 | 706 | 671 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 14 | 0 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 1815 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 1754 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 283 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2640 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 1802 | 18 | 15 | 0 | 683 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 3608 |
| 13 | 0 | 242 | 27 | 32 | 279 | 0 | 266 | 62 | 3 | 0 | 1039 |
| 14 | 3 | 69 | 0 | 47 | 214 | 64 | 36 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 480 |
| 15 | 853 | 785 | 0 | 26 | 42 | 65 | 23 | 27 | 0 | 4 | 1848 |
| 16 | 3 | 507 | 11 | 181 | 343 | 0 | 266 | 14 | 8 | 41 | 1514 |
| 17 | 0 | 43 | 102 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 416 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1157 |
| 18 | 666 | 552 | 1 | 55 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1373 |
| 19 | 11 | 232 | 0 | 1989 | 1683 | 28 | 116 | 21 | 243 | 27 | 4460 |
| 20 | 708 | 401 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 30 | 8 | 15 | 1279 |
| 21 | 759 | 93 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 759 |
| 22 | 302 | 98 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 450 |
| 23 | 2157 | 227 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 2521 |
| 24 | 3003 | 169 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 13 | 3338 |
| 25 | 2161 | 248 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2720 |
| 26 | 1122 | 301 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 1652 |
| 27 | 284 | 395 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 124 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1009 |
| 28 | 0 | 142 | 770 | 34 | 1320 | 8 | 367 | 49 | 3 | 8 | 2316 |
| 29 | 0 | 171 | 171 | 30 | 1089 | 0 | 255 | 28 | 0 | 24 | 1910 |
| 30 | 1327 | 173 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 1835 |
| 31 | 398 | 94 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 8 | 919 |
| 32 | 5709 | 576 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 605 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 26 | 7139 |
| 33 | 1105 | 601 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 243 | 171 | 40 | 0 | 11 | 2404 |
| 34 | 220 | 12 | 0 | 75 | 239 | 86 | 0 | 373 | 0 | 957 | 2006 |
| 35 | 3119 | 400 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 83 | 32 | 174 | 0 | 17 | 3975 |
| 36 | 5340 | 452 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 400 | 5 | 191 | 0 | 29 | 6624 |
| 37 | 3489 | 105 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 42 | 0 | 205 | 32 | 0 | 4021 |
| 38 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 73 | 14 | 154 | 0 | 243 | 0 | 51 | 693 |
| 39 | 683 | 167 | 0 | 32 | 35 | 25 | 0 | 904 | 0 | 0 | 2295 |
| 40 | 100 | 17 | 0 | 388 | 0 | 158 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 149 | 1224 |
| 41 | 82 | 13 | 0 | 2002 | 14 | 65 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 677 | 3011 |
| 42 | 12 | 36 | 0 | 166 | 63 | 197 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 3 | 732 |
| 43 | 0 | 105 | 3894 | 38 | 12 | 117 | 197 | 227 | 4 | 92 | 5723 |
| 44 | 0 | 284 | 261 | 61 | 4 | 92 | 461 | 62 | 9 | 54 | 2691 |
| 45 | 216 | 612 | 0 | 37 | 32 | 47 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 9 | 1466 |
| 46 | 7 | 3 | 89 | 172 | 650 | 91 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 128 | 1212 |
| 47 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 28 | 87 | 34 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 321 |
| 48 | 37 | 6 | 0 | 1950 | 16 | 318 | 0 | 169 | 0 | 151 | 2672 |
| 49 | 11 | 19 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 64 | 5 | 80 | 0 | 8 | 419 |
| 50 | 1050 | 226 | 0 | 41 | 39 | 11 | 0 | 283 | 0 | 0 | 2092 |
| 51 | 0 | 8 | 629 | 22 | 12 | 9 | 85 | 96 | 0 | 13 | 1287 |
| 52 | 1073 | 536 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 122 | 9 | 33 | 0 | 39 | 1974 |
| 53 | 1155 | 290 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 46 | 13 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 1689 |
| 54 | 494 | 350 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 112 | 14 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 1229 |
| 55 | 97 | 1565 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 131 | 0 | 2548 |
| 56 | 211 | 380 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 103 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 762 |
| 57 | 183 | 577 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 31 | 3 | 0 | 2244 | 0 | 3316 |
| 58 | 309 | 2066 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 105 | 35 | 0 | 734 | 0 | 3498 |

## Appendix 3

Species taken during the survey

| Scientific name | Common name |
| :---: | :---: |
| Agnatha |  |
| Eptatretidae |  |
| Eptairetus cirrhatus | hagfish, blind eel |
| Chondrichthyes |  |
| Scyliorhinidae |  |
| Cephaloscyllium isabellum | carpet shark |
| Halaelurus dawsoni | Dawson's catshark |
| Triakidae |  |
| Mustelus lenticulatus | rig |
| Gollum attenuatus | slender smoothhound |
| Squalidae |  |
| Centrophorus squamosus | leafscale gulper dogfish |
| Deania calceus | shovelnosed dogfish |
| Etmopterus lucifer | Lucifer spiny dogfish |
| Scymnorhinus licha | seal shark |
| Squalus acanthias | spiny dogfish |
| Carcharhinidae |  |
| Galeorhinus galeus | school shark |
| Torpedinidae |  |
| Torpedo fairchildi | electric ray |
| Rajidae |  |
| Pavoraja asperula | smooth deepsea skate |
| P. spinifera | prickly deepsea skate |
| Pavoraja sp. | deepsea skate |
| Raja innominata | smooth skate |
| R. nasuta | rough skate |
| Callorhinchidae |  |
| Callorhinchus milii | elephantfish |
| Chimaeridae |  |
| Hydrolagus novaezelandiae | dark ghost shark |
| Osteichthyes |  |
| Congridae |  |
| Bassanago hirsutus | hairy conger |
| Gnathophis habenatus | silver conger |
| Notacanthidae |  |
| Notacanthus sexspinis | spineback |
| Argentinidae |  |
| Argentina elongata | silverside |
| Gadidae |  |
| Micromesistius australis | southern blue whiting |
| Merlucciidae |  |
| Macruronus novaezelandiae | hoki |
| Merluccius australis | hake |
| Moridae |  |
| Mora moro | ribaldo |
| Pseudophycis bachus | red cod |
| P. barbata | southern bastard cod |
| Macrouridae |  |
| Coelorinchus spp. | rattails |
| Lepidorhynchus denticulatus | javelinfish |
| Ophidiidae |  |
| Genypterus blacodes | ling |
| Trachipteridae |  |
| Trachipterus trachypterus | dealfish |
| Berycidae |  |
| Beryx splendens | alfonsino |
| Trachichthyidae |  |
| Hoplostethus mediterraneus | silver roughy |
| Paratrachichthys trailli | common roughy |
| Zeidae |  |
| Cytus novaezelandiae | silver dory |
| C. traversi | lookdown dory |
| Zeus faber | John dory |
| Macrorhamphosidae |  |
| Centriscops obliquus | redbanded bellowsfish |
| Notopogon fernandezianus | bluebanded bellowsfish |
| N. lilliei | crested bellowsfish |


| Scientific name | Common name |
| :---: | :---: |
| Scorpaenidae |  |
| Helicolenus sp. | sea perch |
| Congiopodidae |  |
| Congiopodus leucopaecilus | southern pigfish |
| Hoplichthyidae <br> Hoplichthys haswelli | deepsea flathead |
| Triglidae |  |
| Chelidonichthys kumu | red gurnard |
| Lepidotrigla brachyoptera | scaly gurnard |
| Psychrolutidae |  |
| Neophrynichthys angustus | pale toadfish |
| N. latus | dark toadfish |
| Percichthyidae |  |
| Polyprion oxygeneios | hapuku |
| Serranidae |  |
| Caesioperca lepidoptera | butterfly perch |
| Lepidoperca sp. A | orange perch |
| Lepidoperca sp. B | wavyline perch |
| Bramidae |  |
| Brama brama | Ray's bream |
| Emmelichthyidae |  |
| Emmelichthys nitidus | redbait |
| Carangidae |  |
| Trachurus declivis | jack mackerel |
| T. murphyii | jack mackerel |
| Cheilodactylidae |  |
| Nemadactylus macropterus | tarakihi |
| Latridae |  |
| Latridopsis ciliaris | blue moki |
| Latris lineala | trumpeter |
| Labridae |  |
| Notolabrus miles | scarlet wrasse |
| $N$. cinctus | girdled wrasse |
| Uranoscopidae |  |
| Kathetostoma giganteum | giant stargazer |
| Kathetostoma sp. | mottled stargazer |
| Pinguipedidae |  |
| Parapercis colias | blue cod |
| P. gilliesi | yellow cod |
| Gempylidae |  |
| Rexea solandri | gemfish, southern kingfish |
| Thyrsites alun | barracouta |
| Trichiuridae |  |
| Lepidopus caudatus | frostfish |
| Centrolophidae |  |
| Centrolophus niger | rudderfish |
| Hyperoglyphe antarctica | bluenose |
| Seriolella brama | blue (common) warehou |
| S. caerulea | white warehou |
| S. punctata | silver warehou |
| Bothidae |  |
| Arnoglossus scapha | witch |
| Pleuronectidae |  |
| Azygopus pinnifasciatus | spotted flounder |
| Pelotretis flavilatus | lemon sole |
| Cephalopoda |  |
| Ommastrephidae |  |
| Nototodarus sloanii | arrow squid |
| Todarodes filippovae | southern arrow squid |
| Onychoteuthidae |  |
| Moroteuthis ingens | warty squid |
| Octopodidae |  |
| Octopus maorum | octopus |
| Crustacea |  |
| Nephropsidae |  |
| Metanephrops challengeri | scampi |

## Appendix 4

Mean catch rates $\left(\mathbf{k g} . \mathrm{km}^{-2}\right)$ (and standard deviations) of the 10 major species by stratum

| Stratum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Barracouta | 2514 | 3428 | 4869 | 6455 | 14617 | 2800 | 10707 | 28 | 445 | 0 | 0 |
|  | (2 303) | ( 3700 ) | (4 117) | (7 197) | (14613) | ( 1417 ) | (6155) | (17) | (362) | (0) | (0) |
| Spiny dogfish | 8821 | 2455 | 3783 | 2369 | 1993 | 2828 | 1272 | 855 | 55 | 459 | 631 |
|  | (4521) | (1 383) | (1531) | (855) | (2000) | (462) | (438) | (903) | (35) | (521) | (662) |
| Hoki | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 93 | 3283 | 7717 |
|  | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | (3) | (0) | (21) | (207) | (3 907) | (9000) |
| Arrow squid | 52 | 176 | 59 | 41 | 300 | 335 | 348 | 2035 | 4826 | 107 | 200 |
|  | (45) | (155) | (62) | (24) | (355) | (35) | (503) | ( 3 407) | $(5152)$ | (66) | (80) |
| Silver warehou | 24 | 162 | 59 | 4 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 2141 | 921 | 2093 | 48 |
|  | (41) | (214) | (114) | (7) | (159) | (0) | (0) | $(2659)$ | (1 452) | (3072) | (28) |
| School shark | 266 | 383 | 504 | 366 | 1646 | 407 | 766 | 104 | 724 | 7 | 345 |
|  | (310) | (228) | (172) | (300) | (1 424) | (538) | (372) | (148) | (476) | (14) | (255) |
| Ling | 66 | 0 | 138 | 69 | 224 | 17 | 0 | 452 | 0 | 1700 | 1210 |
|  | (114) | (0) | (179) | (079) | (572) | (24) | (0) | (469) | (0) | (772) | (872) |
| Stargazers | 410 | 4072 | $235$ | 455 | 741 | 31 | 31 | 72 | 586 | 138 | 648 |
|  | (669) | (548) | (338) | (403) | (572) | (10) | (40) | (62) | (645) | (121) | (379) |
| Red cod | 5810 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 24 | 7 | 14 | 228 | 0 | 4 | 21 |
|  | $(8000)$ | (0) | (117) | (0) | (66) | (10) | (31) | (428) | (0) | (7) | (21) |
| Gemfish | 0 | 35 | 224 | 83 | 72 | 0 | 79 | 69 | 2069 | 24 | 255 |
|  | (0) | (48) | (266) | (86) | (76) | (0) | (69) | (83) | (1817) | (48) | (172) |

## Appendix 5

Estimated doorspread biomass and coefficient of variation (c.v.) for the $\mathbf{2 0}$ major species

| Species | Estimate $1^{*}$ |  | Estimate 2* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Biomass (t) | c.v. (\%) | Biomass (t) | c.v. (\%) |
| Barracouta | 65236 | 18 | 59893 | 19 |
| Spiny dogfish | 28530 | 10 | 26580 | 10 |
| Hoki | 6494 | 50 | 6492 | 50 |
| Arrow squid | 6483 | 30 | 6217 | 31 |
| Silver warehou | 3113 | 31 | 3113 | 31 |
| School shark | 6751 | 17 | 6295 | 17 |
| Ling | 2656 | 21 | 2641 | 21 |
| Stargazers | 6259 | 40 | 6187 | 40 |
| Red cod | 4973 | 65 | 4964 | 65 |
| Gemfish | 2553 | 26 | 2520 | 26 |
| Rattails | 1428 | 17 | 1425 | 17 |
| Javelinfish | 1231 | 42 | 1229 | 42 |
| Blue warehou | 2002 | 60 | 1977 | 60 |
| Tarakihi | 2037 | 44 | 2032 | 44 |
| Hapuku | 1581 | 18 | 1461 | 18 |
| Smooth skate | 1345 | 16 | 1204 | 14 |
| Rig | 1246 | 31 | 1056 | 29 |
| Dark ghost shark | 517 | 43 | 517 | 43 |
| Blue cod | 1112 | 50 | 1092 | 51 |
| Sea perch | 488 | 62 | 472 | 64 |
| All species | 150325 | 10 | 141607 | 10 |

* Estimate 1, total survey area; estimate 2, survey area minus areas of foul ground in strata 4 and 6.
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